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#### Abstract

The perturbation approach is used to derive the exact correlation length $\xi$ of the dilute $\mathrm{A}_{L}$ lattice models in regimes 1 and 2 for $L$ odd. In regime 2 the $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ model is the $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ lattice realization of the two-dimensional Ising model in a magnetic field $h$ at $T=T_{\mathrm{c}}$. When combined with the singular part $f_{\mathrm{s}}$ of the free energy the result for the $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ model gives the universal amplitude $f_{\mathrm{s}} \xi^{2}=0.061728 \ldots$ as $h \rightarrow 0$ in precise agreement with the result obtained by Delfino and Mussardo via the form-factor bootstrap approach.


The integrable $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ quantum field theory of Zamolodchikov [1,2] is known to be in the same universality class as the two-dimensional Ising model in a magnetic field at $T=T_{\mathrm{c}}$. Moreover, an integrable lattice realization of the $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ Ising model is provided by the dilute $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ model [3, 4], upon which explicit exact and numerical calculations pertaining to the Ising model in a magnetic field can be performed [3-13].

In this letter we present the correlation length of the dilute $\mathrm{A}_{L}$ lattice models in regimes 1 and 2 for $L$ odd, for which the off-critical perturbation is magnetic-like. This includes the magnetic correlation length for $L=3$, of relevance to the magnetic Ising model at $T=T_{\mathrm{c}}$.

The dilute $\mathrm{A}_{L}$ model is an exactly solvable, restricted solid-on-solid model defined on the square lattice. Each site of the lattice can take one of $L$ possible (height) values, subject to the restriction that neighbouring sites of the lattice either have the same height, or differ by $\pm 1$. The Boltzmann weights of the allowed height configurations of an elementary face of the lattice are $[3,4]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & a \\
a & a
\end{array}\right)= \frac{\vartheta_{1}(6 \lambda-u) \vartheta_{1}(3 \lambda+u)}{\vartheta_{1}(6 \lambda) \vartheta_{1}(3 \lambda)} \\
&-\left(\frac{S(a+1)}{S(a)} \frac{\vartheta_{4}(2 a \lambda-5 \lambda)}{\vartheta_{4}(2 a \lambda+\lambda)}+\frac{S(a-1)}{S(a)} \frac{\vartheta_{4}(2 a \lambda+5 \lambda)}{\vartheta_{4}(2 a \lambda-\lambda)}\right) \frac{\vartheta_{1}(u) \vartheta_{1}(3 \lambda-u)}{\vartheta_{1}(6 \lambda) \vartheta_{1}(3 \lambda)} \\
& W\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a \pm 1 & a \\
a & a
\end{array}\right)=W\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & a \\
a & a \pm 1
\end{array}\right)=\frac{\vartheta_{1}(3 \lambda-u) \vartheta_{4}( \pm 2 a \lambda+\lambda-u)}{\vartheta_{1}(3 \lambda) \vartheta_{4}( \pm 2 a \lambda+\lambda)}
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$
\begin{align*}
& W\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & a \\
a \pm 1 & a
\end{array}\right)=W\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & a \pm 1 \\
a & a
\end{array}\right)=\left(\frac{S(a \pm 1)}{S(a)}\right)^{1 / 2} \frac{\vartheta_{1}(u) \vartheta_{4}( \pm 2 a \lambda-2 \lambda+u)}{\vartheta_{1}(3 \lambda) \vartheta_{4}( \pm 2 a \lambda+\lambda)} \\
& W\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & a \pm 1 \\
a & a \pm 1
\end{array}\right)=W\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a \pm 1 & a \pm 1 \\
a & a
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{\vartheta_{4}( \pm 2 a \lambda+3 \lambda) \vartheta_{4}( \pm 2 a \lambda-\lambda)}{\vartheta_{4}^{2}( \pm 2 a \lambda+\lambda)}\right)^{1 / 2} \frac{\vartheta_{1}(u) \vartheta_{1}(3 \lambda-u)}{\vartheta_{1}(2 \lambda) \vartheta_{1}(3 \lambda)} \\
& W\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a \pm 1 & a \\
a & a \mp 1
\end{array}\right)=\frac{\vartheta_{1}(2 \lambda-u) \vartheta_{1}(3 \lambda-u)}{\vartheta_{1}(2 \lambda) \vartheta_{1}(3 \lambda)} \\
& W\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & a \mp 1 \\
a \pm 1 & a
\end{array}\right)=-\left(\frac{S(a-1) S(a+1)}{S^{2}(a)}\right)^{1 / 2} \frac{\vartheta_{1}(u) \vartheta_{1}(\lambda-u)}{\vartheta_{1}(2 \lambda) \vartheta_{1}(3 \lambda)} \\
& W\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & a \pm 1 \\
a \pm 1 & a
\end{array}\right)=\frac{\vartheta_{1}(3 \lambda-u) \vartheta_{1}( \pm 4 a \lambda+2 \lambda+u)}{\vartheta_{1}(3 \lambda) \vartheta_{1}( \pm 4 a \lambda+2 \lambda)} \\
& \quad+\frac{S(a \pm 1)}{\vartheta_{1}(u) \vartheta_{1}( \pm 4 a \lambda-\lambda+u)} \frac{\vartheta_{1}(3 \lambda) \vartheta_{1}( \pm 4 a \lambda+2 \lambda)}{\vartheta_{1}}=\frac{\vartheta_{1}(3 \lambda+u) \vartheta_{1}( \pm 4 a \lambda-4 \lambda+u)}{\vartheta_{1}(3 \lambda) \vartheta_{1}( \pm 4 a \lambda-4 \lambda)} \\
&  \tag{1}\\
& \quad+\left(\frac{S(a \mp 1)}{S(a)} \frac{\vartheta_{1}(4 \lambda)}{\vartheta_{1}(2 \lambda)}-\frac{\vartheta_{4}( \pm 2 a \lambda-5 \lambda)}{\vartheta_{4}( \pm 2 a \lambda+\lambda)}\right) \frac{\vartheta_{1}(u) \vartheta_{1}( \pm 4 a \lambda-\lambda+u)}{\vartheta_{1}(3 \lambda) \vartheta_{1}( \pm 4 a \lambda-4 \lambda)} .
\end{align*}
$$

The crossing factors $S(a)$ are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(a)=(-1)^{a} \frac{\vartheta_{1}(4 a \lambda)}{\vartheta_{4}(2 a \lambda)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\vartheta_{1}(u), \vartheta_{4}(u)$ are standard elliptic theta functions of nome $p$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \vartheta_{1}(u)=\vartheta_{1}(u, p)=2 p^{1 / 4} \sin u \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-2 p^{2 n} \cos 2 u+p^{4 n}\right)\left(1-p^{2 n}\right)  \tag{3}\\
& \vartheta_{4}(u)=\vartheta_{4}(u, p)=\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-2 p^{2 n-1} \cos 2 u+p^{4 n-2}\right)\left(1-p^{2 n}\right) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

In the above weights the variable $\lambda$ and the range of the spectral parameter $u$ are given by $0<u<3 \lambda$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\frac{s}{r} \pi \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r=4(L+1)$ and $s=L$ in regime 1 and $s=L+2$ in regime $2 \dagger$. The magnetic Ising point occurs in regime 2 with $\lambda=5 \pi / 16$.

The row transfer matrix of the dilute A models is defined on a periodic strip of width $N$ as

$$
T_{\{a\}}^{\{b\}}=\prod_{j=1}^{N} W\left(\begin{array}{cc}
b_{j} & b_{j+1}  \tag{6}\\
a_{j} & a_{j+1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\{a\}$ is an admissible path of heights and $a_{N+1}=a_{1}, b_{N+1}=b_{1}$. For convenience we take $N$ even.

The eigenvalues of the transfer matrix are $[6,12,13]$
$\Lambda(u)=\omega\left[\frac{\vartheta_{1}(2 \lambda-u) \vartheta_{1}(3 \lambda-u)}{\vartheta_{1}(2 \lambda) \vartheta_{1}(3 \lambda)}\right]^{N} \prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\vartheta_{1}\left(u-u_{j}+\lambda\right)}{\vartheta_{1}\left(u-u_{j}-\lambda\right)}$
$\dagger$ The model has other regimes, but they are not of interest here.

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\left[\frac{\vartheta_{1}(u) \vartheta_{1}(3 \lambda-u)}{\vartheta_{1}(2 \lambda) \vartheta_{1}(3 \lambda)}\right]^{N} \prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\vartheta_{1}\left(u-u_{j}\right) \vartheta_{1}\left(u-u_{j}-3 \lambda\right)}{\vartheta_{1}\left(u-u_{j}-\lambda\right) \vartheta_{1}\left(u-u_{j}-2 \lambda\right)} \\
& +\omega^{-1}\left[\frac{\vartheta_{1}(u) \vartheta_{1}(\lambda-u)}{\vartheta_{1}(2 \lambda) \vartheta_{1}(3 \lambda)}\right]^{N} \prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\vartheta_{1}\left(u-u_{j}-4 \lambda\right)}{\vartheta_{1}\left(u-u_{j}-2 \lambda\right)} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where the $N$ roots $u_{j}$ are given by the Bethe equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega\left[\frac{\vartheta_{1}\left(\lambda-u_{j}\right)}{\vartheta_{1}\left(\lambda+u_{j}\right)}\right]^{N}=-\prod_{k=1}^{N} \frac{\vartheta_{1}\left(u_{j}-u_{k}-2 \lambda\right) \vartheta_{1}\left(u_{j}-u_{k}+\lambda\right)}{\vartheta_{1}\left(u_{j}-u_{k}+2 \lambda\right) \vartheta_{1}\left(u_{j}-u_{k}-\lambda\right)} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\omega=\exp (\mathrm{i} \pi \ell /(L+1))$ for $\ell=1, \ldots, L$.
There are several methods at hand to calculate the correlation length. Here we apply the perturbative approach initiated by Baxter [14, 15]. For $L$ odd this involves perturbing away from the strong magnetic field limit at $p=1$. We thus introduce the variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=\mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi u / \epsilon} \quad \text { and } \quad x=\mathrm{e}^{-\pi^{2} / r \epsilon} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

conjugate to the nome $p=\mathrm{e}^{-\epsilon}$. The relevant conjugate modulus transformations are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \vartheta_{1}(u, p)=\left(\frac{\pi}{\epsilon}\right)^{1 / 2} \mathrm{e}^{-(u-\pi / 2)^{2} / \epsilon} E\left(w, q^{2}\right)  \tag{10}\\
& \vartheta_{4}(u, p)=\left(\frac{\pi}{\epsilon}\right)^{1 / 2} \mathrm{e}^{-(u-\pi / 2)^{2} / \epsilon} E\left(-w, q^{2}\right) \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $q=\mathrm{e}^{-\pi^{2} / \epsilon}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(z, p)=\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-p^{n-1} z\right)\left(1-p^{n} z^{-1}\right)\left(1-p^{n}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the ordered limit ( $p \rightarrow 1$ with $u / \epsilon$ fixed) the Boltzmann weights for $L$ odd reduce to

$$
W\left(\begin{array}{ll}
d & c  \tag{13}\\
a & b
\end{array}\right) \sim w^{H(d, a, b)} \delta_{a, c} .
$$

The function $H(d, a, b)$ is given explicity in [5], being required for the calculation of the local height probabilities. In this limit the row transfer matrix eigenspectra breaks up into a number of bands labelled by integer powers of $w$. In regime 1 there are $\frac{1}{2}(L+1)$ ground states and in regime 2 there are $\frac{1}{2}(L-1)$ ground states, each with eigenvalue $\lambda_{0}=1$. The bands of excitations are relevant to the calculation of the correlation length.

The number of states in the $w$ band is $\frac{1}{2}(L-1) N$ in regime 1 and $\frac{1}{2}(L-3) N$ in regime 2. These correspond to introducing in all but one of the ground-state paths $\{a\}$ a single non-ground-state height, in any position. In particular, note that there are no excitations in the $w$ band for $L=3$ in regime 2 . Thus for the magnetic Ising model we must consider excitations in the $w^{2}$ band. These are harder to count, arising from a variety of both single and multiple deviations from ground-state paths. However, we observe numerically that (apart from when $N=2$ ) there are $4 N$ states in the $w^{2}$ band.

We associate a given value of $\ell$ with each eigenvalue by numerically comparing the eigenspectrum at criticality $(p=0)$ with the eigenspectrum of the corresponding $\mathrm{O}(n)$ loop model [18] for finite $N \dagger$. Each eigenvalue can then be tracked to the ordered limit. The band of largest eigenvalues is seen to have the values $\ell=1, \ldots, \frac{1}{2}(L+1)$ in regime 1 and $\ell=1, \ldots, \frac{1}{2}(L-1)$ in regime 2 .

[^0]Setting $w_{j}=\mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi u_{j} / \epsilon}$, the eigenvalues (7) can be written

$$
\begin{align*}
\Lambda(w)=\omega[ & \left.\frac{E\left(x^{4 s} / w, x^{2 r}\right) E\left(x^{6 s} / w, x^{2 r}\right)}{E\left(x^{4 s}, x^{2 r}\right) E\left(x^{6 s}, x^{2 r}\right)}\right]^{N} \prod_{j=1}^{N} w_{j}^{1-2 s / r} \frac{E\left(x^{2 s} w / w_{j}, x^{2 r}\right)}{E\left(x^{2 s} w_{j} / w, x^{2 r}\right)} \\
& +\left[\frac{x^{2 s}}{w} \frac{E\left(w, x^{2 r}\right) E\left(x^{6 s} / w, x^{2 r}\right)}{E\left(x^{4 s}, x^{2 r}\right) E\left(x^{6 s}, x^{2 r}\right)}\right]^{N} \prod_{j=1}^{N} w_{j} \frac{E\left(w / w_{j}, x^{2 r}\right) E\left(x^{6 s} w_{j} / w, x^{2 r}\right)}{E\left(x^{2 s} w_{j} / w, x^{2 r}\right) E\left(x^{4 s} w_{j} / w, x^{2 r}\right)} \\
& +\omega^{-1}\left[x^{2 s} \frac{E\left(w, x^{2 r}\right) E\left(x^{2 s} / w, x^{2 r}\right.}{E\left(x^{4 s}, x^{2 r}\right) E\left(x^{6 s}, x^{2 r}\right)}\right]^{N} \prod_{j=1}^{N} w_{j}^{2 s / r} \frac{E\left(x^{8 s} w_{j} / w, x^{2 r}\right)}{E\left(x^{4 s} w_{j} / w, x^{2 r}\right)} . \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

The Bethe equations (8) are now
$\omega\left[w_{j} \frac{E\left(x^{2 s} / w_{j}, x^{2 r}\right)}{E\left(x^{2 s} w_{j}, x^{2 r}\right)}\right]^{N}=-\prod_{k=1}^{N} w_{k}^{2 s / r} \frac{E\left(x^{2 s} w_{j} / w_{k}, x^{2 r}\right) E\left(x^{4 s} w_{k} / w_{j}, x^{2 r}\right)}{E\left(x^{2 s} w_{k} / w_{j}, x^{2 r}\right) E\left(x^{4 s} w_{j} / w_{k}, x^{2 r}\right)}$.
The calculation of the largest eigenvalue proceeds from the $x \rightarrow 0$ limit with $w$ fixed in a similar manner to that for the eight-vertex [16] and CSOS [17] models. Each of the degenerate ground states has a different root distribution $\left\{w_{j}\right\}$ on the unit circle, depending on $\ell$. Defining the free energy per site as $f=N^{-1} \log \Lambda_{0}$, our final result is
$f=4 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cosh [(5 \lambda-\pi) \pi k / \epsilon] \cosh (\pi \lambda k / \epsilon) \sinh (\pi u k / \epsilon) \sinh [(3 \lambda-u) \pi k / \epsilon]}{k \sinh \left(\pi^{2} k / \epsilon\right) \cosh (3 \pi \lambda k / \epsilon)}$
in agreement with the previous calculations via the inversion relation method [3-5].
In regime 1 , the leading eigenvalue in the $w$ band has $\ell=\frac{1}{2}(L+1)+1$. The root distribution has $N-1$ roots on the unit circle and a 1 -string excitation located exactly at $w_{N}=-x^{r}$. Applying perturbative arguments along the lines of [17] yields the leading excitation in the $w$ band to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Lambda_{1}}{\Lambda_{0}}=w \frac{E\left(-x^{2 s} / w, x^{12 s}\right) E\left(-x^{4 s} / w, x^{12 s}\right)}{E\left(-x^{2 s} w, x^{12 s}\right) E\left(-x^{4 s} w, x^{12 s}\right)} . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

At the isotropic point $w=x^{3 s}$ this reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Lambda_{1}}{\Lambda_{0}}=x^{s} \frac{E^{2}\left(-x^{s}, x^{12 s}\right)}{E^{2}\left(-x^{5 s}, x^{12 s}\right)}=\left[\frac{\vartheta_{4}\left(\pi / 12, p^{\pi / 6 \lambda}\right)}{\vartheta_{4}\left(5 \pi / 12, p^{\pi / 6 \lambda}\right)}\right]^{2} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $L=3$ in regime 2 extensive numerical investigations of the Bethe equations have led to a convincing conjecture for the thermodynamically significant strings [6,8]. We find that the leading excitation in the $w^{2}$ band is a 2 -string with $\ell=2$. However, the state is originally a 1 -string for small $p$. Such behaviour has been discussed in [9]. Tracking this state with increasing $p$ reveals that the 2 -string is exactly located at $-x^{ \pm 11}$ in the limit $p=1$. There are finite-size deviations away from this position for small $N$ and $0<p<1$. The location we find for this string is in accord with the previous numerical work $[6,8]$. Applying the perturbation arguments in this case yields the leading excitation in the $w^{2}$ band for $L=3$ to be
$\frac{\Lambda_{2}}{\Lambda_{0}}=w^{2} \frac{E\left(-x / w, x^{60}\right) E\left(-x^{11} / w, x^{60}\right) E\left(-x^{31} w, x^{60}\right) E\left(-x^{41} w, x^{60}\right)}{E\left(-x w, x^{60}\right) E\left(-x^{11} w, x^{60}\right) E\left(-x^{31} / w, x^{60}\right) E\left(-x^{41} / w, x^{60}\right)}$.
At the isotropic point $w=x^{15}$ this reduces to
$\frac{\Lambda_{2}}{\Lambda_{0}}=x^{28} \frac{E^{2}\left(-x^{4}, x^{60}\right) E^{2}\left(-x^{14}, x^{60}\right)}{E^{2}\left(-x^{16}, x^{60}\right) E^{2}\left(-x^{26}, x^{60}\right)}=\left[\frac{\vartheta_{4}\left(\pi / 15, p^{8 / 15}\right) \vartheta_{4}\left(7 \pi / 30, p^{8 / 15}\right)}{\vartheta_{4}\left(4 \pi / 15, p^{8 / 15}\right) \vartheta_{4}\left(13 \pi / 30, p^{8 / 15}\right)}\right]^{2}$.

The correlation length $\xi$ can be obtained either by integrating over the relevant band of eigenvalues or via the leading eigenvalue in the band at the isotropic point (see, e.g., [17]). Doing the latter we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{-1}=-\log \frac{\Lambda}{\Lambda_{0}} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Lambda$ is the relevant leading eigenvalue. Our final results are thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{-1}=2 \log \left[\frac{\vartheta_{4}\left(5 \pi / 12, p^{\pi / 6 \lambda}\right)}{\vartheta_{4}\left(\pi / 12, p^{\pi / 6 \lambda}\right)}\right] \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $L$ odd in regime 1 , with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{-1}=2 \log \left[\frac{\vartheta_{4}\left(4 \pi / 15, p^{8 / 15}\right) \vartheta_{4}\left(13 \pi / 30, p^{8 / 15}\right)}{\vartheta_{4}\left(\pi / 15, p^{8 / 15}\right) \vartheta_{4}\left(7 \pi / 30, p^{8 / 15}\right)}\right] \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $L=3$ in regime 2 .
The derivation of the correlation length for $L \neq 3$ in regime 2 is complicated. In this regime the leading excitation in the $w$ band has $\ell=\frac{1}{2}(L-1)+1$ and, like the leading 2 -string in the $w^{2}$ band for $L=3$, it begins life for small $N$ and $p \simeq 0$ as a 1 -string. We have not pursued this further. Nevertheless, we have numerically observed that the final result (17) also applies to the leading $w$ band excitation in regime 2 . We thus believe that the correlation length (22) and the corresponding exponents (25) below also hold in regime 2 for $L \neq 3$.

It follows from (22) that the correlation length diverges at criticality as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi \sim \frac{1}{4 \sqrt{3}} p^{-v_{h}} \quad \text { as } p \rightarrow 0 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the correlation length exponent $v_{h}$ is given by

$$
v_{h}=\frac{r}{6 s}= \begin{cases}\frac{2(L+1)}{3 L} & \text { regime } 1  \tag{25}\\ \frac{2(L+1)}{3(L+2)} & \text { regime } 2\end{cases}
$$

The correlation length exponents are seen to satisfy the general scaling relation $2 v_{h}=1+1 / \delta$, which follows from the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\mathrm{s}} \xi^{2} \sim \text { constant } \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{\mathrm{s}} \sim p^{1+1 / \delta}$ is the singular part of the bulk free energy and the exponents $\delta$ are those following from the singular behaviour of (16) [3-5] $\dagger$.

The magnetic Ising case at $\lambda=5 \pi / 16$ is of particular interest. From (16) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\mathrm{s}} \sim 4 \sqrt{3} \frac{\sin (\pi / 5)}{\cos (\pi / 30)} p^{16 / 15} \quad \text { as } p \rightarrow 0 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, from (23) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi \sim \frac{1}{8 \sqrt{3} \sin (\pi / 5)} p^{-8 / 15} \quad \text { as } p \rightarrow 0 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining these results gives the universal magnetic Ising amplitude

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\mathrm{s}} \xi^{2}=\frac{1}{16 \sqrt{3} \sin (\pi / 5) \cos (\pi / 30)}=0.061728589 \ldots \quad \text { as } p \rightarrow 0 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\dagger$ The same correlation length exponents should hold for $L$ even, for which the integrable perturbation is thermallike. The scaling relation is now $2 v_{t}=2-\alpha$, where $v_{t}$ and $\alpha$ are as given in (25) and [3-5], respectively. In particular, (25) gives the Ising value $v_{t}=1$ for $L=2$ in regime 1 , as expected.

This is in precise agreement with the field-theoretic result obtained recently by Delfino and Mussardo, starting from Zamolodchikov's $S$-matrix and using the form-factor bootstrap approach [17, 18]. Full details of our calculations will be given elsewhere.
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[^0]:    $\dagger$ Strictly speaking we compare with the eigenspectrum of the corresponding vertex model with seam $\omega$.

